## Unit Planning during 2008/2009 (for 2009-10) Disability Resources Completed December 5, 2008

#### Section I: Accomplishments from 2007-08

List your Unit's Accomplishments for last year. **Submit to the web by Oct. 10th.** Anna Kate has sent instructions.

#### Section II: Data Elements to Inform Planning.

Use data from 2007-8. Discuss data with your divisions /departments and your Executive Dean.

#### E-mail to Anna Kate with copy to your Exec. Dean by October 31st. .

Craig Taylor will provide direction on accessing data element information. Provide brief explanation where necessary. Some elements may not be available or appropriate for your area.

#### **INSTRUCTIONAL DATA ELEMENTS**

- 5 year Enrollment History; Future trends
- Cost per FTE; comparison data when available and appropriate
- Revenue per FTE
- Course Completion Rates
- Capacity Analysis (class fill rates)
- Student FTE/Faculty FTE ratio
- Student enrollment in required courses (essential courses required for degree/certificate)
- Employment Department Data (for CT programs)
  - o Availability of jobs
  - o Wages
  - o Job Placement

Note: Use data from 2007-08 to help you understand your unit's performance, accomplishments and areas that need attention (use data from prior years if those earlier data help you see trends or problems or opportunities). The data elements should help identify goals/initiatives in Sections III & IV.

#### **OPTIONAL DEPARTMENT/DIVISION SPECIFIC DATA ELEMENTS**

## **Disability Resources**

#### DATA ELEMENTS FOR STUDENT AFFAIRS/STUDENT LEARNING Enhances Student Engagement

| nances Student Engagement                              | 05/06   | 06/07                | 07/08         |
|--|---|----------------------|---------------|
| Number of service contacts                             | 03/00   | 00/01                | 07700         |
| Front Desk In-Person Contact                           | 7,878   | 6,399                | 6419          |
| Front Desk Phone Contacts                              | 3,232   | 2,473                | 1284          |
| Total Front Desk Contacts                              | 11,110  | 8,872                | 7703          |
| Total Contacts % change from previous year             | 0.2% increase   | 20% decrease         | 13% decrease  |
| Number of unduplicated participants                    |   |                      |               |
| Active New and Returning Students                      | 363   | 327                  | 337           |
| % Change (Active Students)                             | 13% increase  | 10% decrease         | 3% Increase   |
| Completed eligibility but inactive                     | 86  | 61                   | 44            |
| End of year Pending Eligibility                        | 36  | 34                   | 28            |
| Total Qualified and Pending                            | 485   | 422                  | 409           |
| End of year Not Qualified                              | 60  | 15                   | 15            |
| Total for Current Year                                 | 545   | 437                  | 424           |
| Rolling Active 2-Year Total                            | 538   | 652                  | 706           |
| Rolling Total Served                                   | 720   | 762                  | 793           |
| Returned from Previous Year (Retention)                | 142   | 234                  | 159           |
| % Change (Returned Students-Retention)                 | 14% increase  | 65% increase         | 32% decrease  |
| Demographics of individuals served                     |   |                      |               |
| % of Students PTE Majors                               | 30%   | 28%                  | 33%           |
| % Male   | 44%   | 41%                  | 37%           |
| % Female   | 56%   | 59%                  | 63%           |
| Other evidence of enhancing engagement                 |   |                      |               |
| Graduation Data per graduation year (across):          |   |                      |               |
| # identified as having a disability in the year: 03-04 | 25 grad in 06   | 6 grad in 07         | 4 grad in 08  |
| 04-05  | 24  | 14                   | 9             |
| 05-06  | 26  | 22                   | 27            |
| 06-07  | 13  | 34                   | 30            |
| Annual Total graduates with identified disabilities    | 88 grad in 06   | 76 grad in 07        | 70 grad in 08 |
| Narrative  | <ul> <li>Continued drop in # of phone contacts may be due to closure during lunch hour (reduced access); dept is now open during lunch, improving access for 08-09</li> <li>Reduction in End of year Pending demonstrates improved systems that reduce # of students waiting for eligibility process to be completed</li> <li>Reduction in students Returned from Previous Year (retention) indicative of IRAP's adjustments in statistical collection process (this lower # is more accurate than previous year's elevation, which showed an increase by 65%)</li> </ul> |                      |               |
| inaffative   | which show  | to an increase by 05 | /0/           |

| Enhances Student Learning  |   |  |   |
|--|---|--|---|
| Enhances 1 of 5 CCSSE Benchmarks (Support for Learners)<br><u>Accommodations Data</u> :<br>Alt Format #Books/sets of materials/syllabi<br>Alt Format Production – Scanning pages<br>Alt Format Production – Editing pages<br>Alt Format – Braille pages<br>Alt Format – Enlarging Pages<br>Assistive Tech (students-duplicated term to term)<br>Furniture (students-duplicated term to term )<br>Test Accommodations (students-duplicated tm to tm/Tests)<br>Interpreter Hours<br>Computer Assisted Notetaking hours | 277<br>22480<br>890<br>1250<br>5444<br>65<br>138<br>122/329<br>596<br>689   | 360<br>24303<br>5278<br>2616<br>98<br>58<br>156<br>124/371<br>595<br>794 | 499<br>42313<br>3218<br>1506<br>1735<br>57<br>132<br>135/408<br>669<br>30 |
| Other learning enhancement data  |   |  |   |
| Narrative  | <ul> <li># books/materials converted to alt format continued to rise</li> <li>Scanning increased due to demand for Braille, audio, enlarged materials</li> <li>Editing demands reduced since software has improved and systems have changed</li> <li>Enlarging increased due to larger number of students with visual disabilities</li> <li>Test Accommodation needs continue to grow</li> <li>Dramatic drop in computer assisted notetaking (CAN) due to unpredictable reduction in demand for this service</li> </ul> |  |   |
| Enhances Student Satisfaction  |   |  |   |
| ACT STUDENT SATISFACTION DATA<br>How often have you used Lane's Disability Resources<br>in the past year?<br>Often<br>Occasionally<br>Never<br>If you have used Disability Services, how satisfied are<br>you with the services received?<br>Very Satisfied<br>Somewhat Satisfied<br>Somewhat Dissatisfied<br>Very Dissatisfied<br>Very Dissatisfied<br>Of Those Who Used Services Often:<br>% Very Satisfied<br>% Somewhat Satisfied<br>% Not At All Satisfied  | 12 25 418 17= 44.7% 12 = 31.6% 4 = 10.5% 5= 13.2% 63 25 13  |  | 80<br>7<br>13   |

| % Very Satisfied2939% Somewhat Satisfied6857% Not At All Satisfied44% Very Important6368% Somewhat Important3332% Not At All Important40  |  |  |
|---|--|--|
| DS STUDENT SATISFACTION DATA  |  |  |
| I am satisfied with the quality of service I have received  |  |  |
|   | <u>W Sp</u>                                    |  |
|   | 14 33  |  |
| %Strongly Agree         67% 85% 73%         89% 82 5           %Agree         25% 15% 19%         11% 7 2   | 29 15  |  |
| %Neutral 9% 0 0 0 9   | 7 0  |  |
| %Disagree 0 0 8% 0 0  | 0 3  |  |
| %Strongly Disagree         0         0         0         0         2  | 7 3  |  |
| %Not Applicable 0 0 0 0 0   | 0 0  |  |
| The Letter of Accommodation helped facilitate   |  |  |
| communication with my instructor regarding  |  |  |
|   | <mark>∛ Sp</mark>                              |  |
|   | 14 33  |  |
| 0.0   | 64 61  |  |
| $\begin{array}{c} \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \$  | 7 12   |  |
|   | 14 6   |  |
| %Disagree         0         2         0         2         0         2         0 | 0 3<br>7 3                                     |  |
| %Strongly Disagree         0         0         4%         0         2           %Not Applicable         26%         15%         8%         0         11   | 7 3<br>7 15                                    |  |
| Accommodations have been implemented  | / 15   |  |
|   | ∛ Sp   |  |
|   | 4 33   |  |
| % Strongly Agree 52% 60 65 89% 49 5   |  |  |
| % Agree 16% 25 1.5 11% 22 1   | 14 18  |  |
| %Neutral 9% 5 8 0 9   | 79   |  |
| % Disagree 1.5% 0 0 0 4   | 7 0  |  |
| % Strongly Disagree 1.5% 0 4 0 2  | 79   |  |
| % Not Applicable 20% 10 1.5 0 13  | 0 6  |  |
| If there were difficulties with accommodations, were  | W/ C   |  |
|   | <u>W Sp</u><br>14 33                           |  |
| 5   | 72 55  |  |
|   | 14 21  |  |
| % Not Applicable 22% 35 1 22% 24  |  |  |
|   |  |  |
| Other evidence of enhancing satisfaction  |  |  |
| • Analysis of satisfaction data:  | Analysis of satisfaction data:                 |  |
| • Overall slight increase in satisfaction   | • Overall slight increase in satisfaction (see |  |
| Narrative CCSSE and student satisfaction da   |  |  |

#### DATA ELEMENTS FOR STUDENT AFFAIRS/STUDENT LEARNING

| <u>AFFAIRS/STUDENT LEARNING</u>  | 05/06   | 06/07                             | 07/08  |
|--|---|-----------------------------------|--|
| Unit Efficiency  |   |                                   |  |
| Faculty/Staff to student ratios relative to benchmarks<br>DS ratios of staff FTE per students served range between:<br>Year Totals   | 1:218 to<br>1:288   | 1:175 to<br>1:299                 | 1:167 to                                       |
| Rolling Totals<br>Demand/capacity analysis (i.e. waitlists, access complaints)<br>Appointment wait time to see an advisor<br>Documentation Review wait time<br>Access Complaints | 2-4 wks<br>Up to 4 weeks  | 1-2 weeks<br>1-2 weeks            | 1:317<br>1-2 Weeks<br>1-2 Weeks                |
| Total general fund budget<br>Allocated Budget<br>Actual Spent<br>% Over/Under Budget at year end   | \$631,357<br>\$703,165<br>11% over  | \$607,816<br>\$649,932<br>7% over | \$625,391<br>\$657,756<br>5% over              |
| Budget from other sources (i.e., student fees, grants, etc.)<br>Carl Perkins<br>Tech Fee<br>Tech Fee Contingency Requests  | \$21,124<br>\$ 2,795<br>\$26,598  | \$20,940<br>\$20,040<br>\$3,940   | \$19,999<br>\$16,643<br>\$ 4,492               |
| Other evidence of efficient use of resources   | <ul> <li>The DR ratio of staff FTE to students is calculated by using a range of student data (the larger number representing a 2-year rolling total). Nationally recognized best practice ratio is 1 staff:&lt;100 students. Those recommendations recognize that these students' needs tend to be volatile, time-intensive, high demand and very complex.</li> <li>Demand Capacity Analysis continues to show that wait times are generally reasonable.</li> <li>DR continues to overspend the budget due to unpredictable accommodation needs, but less-so in recent years.</li> <li>Carl Perkins funds are used each year for non-computer-related accommodation needs</li> <li>Tech Fee funds are used each year for computer-related accommodation needs</li> </ul> |                                   |  |
| Unit Essentialness   | Tech Fee Con  | tingency Funds are re             | equested as needed                             |
| Essential to completing a business process with students   |   |                                   | * see narrative<br>below                       |
| Essential to an effective educational experience   |   |                                   | ** see narrative<br>below<br>*** see narrative |
| Legally mandated   |   |                                   | below  |
| Other evidence of essential service  |   |                                   |  |

|           | • *Disability Resources often serves as a consultant and<br>resource for other departments, participates in<br>problem solving meetings with students, staff, faculty,<br>other agency personnel, family members, etc. DR<br>works closely with students and the ADA/504<br>complaint system to resolve concerns. |
|-----------|---|
|           | <ul> <li>**Disability Resources is an important resource for<br/>students with disabilities who request to have access,<br/>support, services, advocacy and accommodations in<br/>order to have an accessible, effective educational<br/>experience.</li> </ul>   |
| Narrative | <ul> <li>***Disability Resources is responsible for assuring that<br/>the college is well informed about federal compliance<br/>regulations related to disability issues, including<br/>Section 504 of the Rehab Act of 1973, the ADA of<br/>1990, Section 508 of the Rehab Act of 1973, etc.</li> </ul>          |

### Section III: Unit Planning Goals /Initiatives (by Division) Disability Resources

List 08/09 and 09/10 goals for the division as needed. Please note that you already have 08/09 planning goals/ data from last year, so bring forward as appropriate. Use data elements to inform goals. **Complete this table with faculty/staff input by October 31, 2008 to Anna Kate with a copy to your** 

| Executive Dean.  | se with faculty/stall input by October 51, 20  |                                      | 1 5 5   |
|--|--|--------------------------------------|---|
| 2008-09 GOALS  | ACTIVITIES   | TIMELINE                             | BUDGET IMPACT   |
| Develop a new<br>Department<br>Database  | <ul> <li>Update current Banner data</li> <li>Explore the use of SARS as the primary database, appointment, Advisor notes software program</li> </ul>   | Nov/Dec 08<br>Feb/Mar 09             | Staff time, some timesheet<br>budget from support staff |
| Implement Social<br>Model philosophy<br>through ongoing<br>education and<br>presentations                              | <ul> <li>Conduct trainings/participate in discussions:         <ul> <li>08 ORAHEAD Conference</li> <li>Follow up with CLA staff/faculty<br/>on UDI and social model</li> <li>Follow up w Science Division re:<br/>social model/UDI for field trips</li> </ul> </li> <li>Participate in Reading Together Planning<br/>Committee using social model</li> </ul>           | Nov 08 - June 09<br>Oct 08 - June 09 | Staff time  |
| Implement<br>supportive<br>programming and<br>collaborative<br>relationships that<br>will assist Veterans<br>on campus | <ul> <li>DR Assoc Dean will oversee the Student<br/>Veterans Resource Office</li> <li>Hire Veteran Work Study students who will<br/>provide the support needed for veterans,<br/>including orienting and referring veterans to<br/>Disability Resources</li> <li>Work more closely with Veterans Benefits<br/>Office</li> <li>Liaison with VA/VR Counselors</li> </ul> | Oct 1<br>Feb 09<br>Oct 1<br>June 09  | Staff time  |
| Develop and expand<br>essential<br>information on the<br>DR webpages   | <ul> <li>Edit and Add critical pages and information<br/>such as, Mod Diploma; Transition Academy;<br/>Accessible Field Trip Strategies under<br/>TEACH; Self Help Resources and<br/>Acronym Resources pages; ShIFT Grant<br/>description, etc</li> <li>Add Sitemap</li> </ul>   | June 09<br>Nov 08                    | Staff time  |

| Provide ongoing<br>support &<br>accommodations for<br>Lane's students with<br>disabilities | <ul> <li>Computer-related support</li> <li>Computer replacements for alternate format production</li> <li>Non-Computer-related support throughout the year</li> <li>Transition Academy and Parents Night by</li> </ul>   | Through the year<br>Ongoing<br>Ongoing<br>Mar 5, 09 | <ul> <li>Tech Fee Fund<br/>Requests</li> <li>Tech Fee Annual</li> <li>Annual Carl Perkins<br/>Fund Requests</li> <li>Carl Perkins Fund<br/>Request</li> </ul> |
|--|--|---|---|
| Continuously<br>advocate for DR's<br>critical but unmet<br>personnel needs                 | <ul> <li>DR needs a salaried 1.0 position at the Front Desk</li> <li>DR needs the .5 advisor who also works additional timesheet hours on a regular basis, to become 1.0 FTE</li> <li>DR needs a salaried AT Technician at .5 FTE or greater</li> </ul>  | Ongoing<br>reminders for<br>Exec Dean               | <ul> <li>~ \$ 26,176 General<br/>Fund</li> <li>~ \$ 24,016 General<br/>Fund</li> <li>~ \$ 16,751 General<br/>Fund</li> </ul>                                  |
| 2009-10 GOALS  | ACTIVITIES   | TIMELINE  | BUDGET IMPACT   |
| Further Webpage<br>development   | <ul> <li>Explore:</li> <li>Interactive or downloadable forms</li> <li>Teaching/instructional videos for faculty</li> <li>Use of Moodle for delivering DR<br/>information to students (e.g., Alt Format)</li> <li>Mapquest for on campus directions</li> <li>Confirm logistics with IT and implement</li> </ul> | Throughout the<br>year<br>Fall 09                   | Staff time, some timesheet<br>budget from support<br>staff<br>Staff time  |
| Remote Interpreting<br>(VRI)<br>Explore creation of<br>a UTube video                       | Explore process and cost   | Summer 09   | Staff time  |
| about DR<br>Further develop<br>database program  | <ul><li>Implement SARS as a pilot phase</li><li>Implement SARS fully</li></ul>   | Summer 09<br>Fall 09                                | Staff time  |
| Develop Student Art<br>Project on DR<br>website  | • Work with Art Dept and community to explore options for expanding art and culture on the website   | Through the year                                    | Staff time  |
| Continuously<br>advocate for DR's<br>critical but unmet<br>personnel needs                 | <ul> <li>DR needs a salaried 1.0 position at the Front Desk</li> <li>DR needs the .5 advisor who also works additional timesheet hours on a regular basis, to become 1.0 FTE</li> <li>DR needs a salaried AT Technician at .5 FTE or greater (continuous reminders throughout the year)</li> </ul>             | ongoing   | <ul> <li>~ \$ 26,176 General<br/>Fund</li> <li>~ \$ 24,016 General<br/>Fund</li> <li>~ \$ 16,751 General<br/>Fund</li> </ul>                                  |

#### Section IV: Initiatives - targeted use of the three available funding sources for 2009-10.

How could you use Carl Perkins, Student Technology Fee, Curriculum Development dollars towards initiatives that complete your planning goals (where appropriate). Prioritize by division.

# This is a web-based submission and should be completed by January 30th, 2009. Anna Kate will supply instructions.

#### **Timelines:**

- ASA (Office of Academic and Student Affairs) will review the requests and provide feedback for the Perkins, Tech Fee and Curriculum Development Committees during the first two weeks in February 2009.
- The Perkins, Tech Fee and Curriculum Development Committees will complete their work between February 16<sup>th</sup> ad March 16<sup>th</sup> 2009.