Unit Planning: Student Services TRiO Learning Center For 2008-2009

Section I: Data Elements

week

Section 1: Data Elements				
	2004-05	2005-06	2006-07	
Unit Effectiveness				
Enhances Student				
Engagement				
Number of service contacts				
Service Contacts:	11,399	10,511	***	
Service Hours:	3,560	3,081	***	
Hours in TLC Lab:	6,509	6,599	6,643	
Number of unduplicated				
participants	203	202	191	
Demographics of individuals				
served				
Students of Color:				
Female:	48 (24%)	56 (28%)	56 (29%)	
Male:	160 (79%)	150 (74%)	147 (77%0	
With Disabilities:	43 (21%)	52 (26%)	44 (23%)	
First Generation/Low	19 (9%)	29 (14%)	20 (11%)	
Income:	184 (91%)	173 (83%)	171 (89%)	
		, ,	,	
Enhances Student Learning				
	Enhances 3 of the CCSSE Benchmarks:			
Enhances one of the five CCSSE	1. Active and collaborative learning through Study Groups and			
Benchmarks	Workshops			
(Active & Collaborative Learning,	2. Student Effort as evidenced by the high number of			
Student Effort, Faculty/Staff and	contacts/hours of service (average of 49 contacts/student; 15 hrs			
Student Interactions, Academic	svc/student			
Challenge, Support for Learners)	3. Support for Learners: All TRiO services are designed to			
,	support learners			
Enhances Student Persistence				
Persistence Term-to-Term	181/201 (90%)	184/202 (91%)	161/181 (89%)	
Persistence Fall-to- Fall	112/149 (75%)	113/152 (74%)	143/181 (79%)	
Graduated/Transferred			29/181 (16%)	
Other learning enhancement			, ,	
data				
			180/181 (99%)	
Good Academic Standing		İ	\ /	
· ·	196/203 (97%)	198/202 (98%)	162/181 (89%)	
GPA of 2.8 or higher	196/203 (97%) 174/203 (86%)	198/202 (98%) 170/202 (84%)	162/181 (89%) 146/181	
Good Academic Standing GPA of 2.8 or higher Complete more than 65% credits enrolled in at end of 4 th	196/203 (97%) 174/203 (86%) 191/203 (94%)	198/202 (98%) 170/202 (84%) 182/202 (90%)	` ,	

** Moved to SARS system. Data not yet available for service contacts and service hours. Contacts and hours were similar in 2006-07 as in past years.

*** Changed to measure percentage of credits completed of those enrolled in at beginning of second week.

Revised: June, 2006 2 of 6

Enhances Student Satisfaction				
TRiO Program Evaluation Helps me meet education goals Provides a safe environment Provides info for good decisions		96% agreed 98 % agreed 94% agreed	100% agreed 100% agreed 94% agreed	
Unit Efficiency				
Faculty/Staff to student ratios	4 contracted staff @ 3.01FTE: 191 students 50 PT tutor hrs/week: 191 students Average of 975 service hours per FTE			
Demand/capacity analysis	Full to capacity by end of fall term with wait list for following year.			
Total general fund budget	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Budget from other sources (i.e., student fees, grants, etc.)	\$239,828 TRiO Grant	\$256,615 TRiO Grant (included 12,000 carry- over)	\$244,735 TRiO Grant	
Unit Essentialness				
Essential to completing a business process with students				
Essential to an effective educational experience			TRiO has a greater persistence and graduation rate than general Lane students	
Other evidence of essential service			Funded to provide services until 2011.	

Revised: June, 2006 3 of 6

Section II: Accomplishments

This was submitted online (Accomplishments)

Revised: June, 2006 4 of 6

Section III: Planning for efficiencies, productivity and revenue enhancements:

Due December 7, 2007

2008-2009 (FY 09)

1. Efficiencies and Productivity: (Include impact, consequences, and comments)

TRiO will continue to implement strategies to enhance retention and success of students. Improved retention positively impacts tuition and FTE.

2. Revenue Enhancements: (Include impact, consequences, and comments)

Revised: June, 2006 5 of 6

Section IV: This section is targeted to the three funding sources: Carl Perkins, Student Technology Fee, Curriculum Development, Deadline: January 31, 2008)

This will be online

Revised: June, 2006 6 of 6