## Unit Planning for Instruction Center for Learning Advancement / ALS-Tutoring Services

#### For 2007-2008 Implementation

### Section III: Planning for fiscal sustainability:

#### **Division Planning Parameters:**

#### 2007-2008 (FY 08) Incremental changes:

1. Revenue Enhancements: (Include impact, consequences, and comments; examples might include: receiving grant funding, securing a donation from a local business to replace general fund costs, offering a new course combining non-credit and credit students that increases FTE).

**Guaranteed Revenue Enhancements:** 

Description	Impact	Consequences	\$	R/NR
Student fee for tutoring	Could generate	Tutoring will no longer be	\$1 per	R
charged through	money for tutor	free to students. Students	student	
Enrollment Services for all	hours.	will have to pay more for	enrolled	
credit students.		their education.	in credit	
		Help offset general fund	classes.	
		costs. Many other fee		
		increases and additions		
		proposed.		
		Must go to board for		
		approval.		

Non-Guaranteed Revenue Enhancements:

Description	Impact	Consequences	\$	R/NR
Apply for Chambers grant	\$12,000 would	Student access to tutors	\$12,000	NR
Research other potential	provide 1,360 hours	would be maintained,		
sources.	of tutoring or SI	continuing student success		
	service to students.	and retention rates		
		Coordinator would be		
		responsible for more tutor		
		hours and reporting		
		requirements with same		
		coordinator FTE.		

#### Additional Narrative:

- Complete the Chambers Family foundation grant application again this year. If received, will be applied toward serving students with writing needs.
- Apply for Carl Perkins funds to enhance the implementation of Supplemental Instruction (SI) for Professional Technical (Career and Technical) courses which have been identified as "gatekeeper" courses (with low retention)

ALS-Tutoring Sec III Page 1 of 3

# Unit Planning for Instruction Center for Learning Advancement / ALS-Tutoring Services

**2. Efficiencies and Productivity:** (Include impact, consequences, and comments; examples might include: increasing maximum class size, consolidating courses of two instructional programs).

Guaranteed Efficiencies/Productivity:

Description	Impact	Consequences	\$	R/NR
Continue with students' self	Saves estimated 20-25	FTE collection per	\$1875	R
registration for tutoring	hours per term	student is less	per year	
services received	(estimated @ \$25 per	accurate, but follows	(low	
	hr) for Tutoring	OCCUR guidelines.	estimate)	
	Services staff to	Based on averages		
	compile data from all	rather than exact		
	centers. Also saves at	contact hours.		
	least <u>10-15</u> hours of			
	Continuing Ed staff			
	time, building classes			
	and registering			
	students.			

Non-Guaranteed Efficiencies/Productivity:

Description	Impact	Consequences	\$ R/NR
Create a Foundation account	Can bring dollars to the	May take dollars	
for Tutoring in 2006-07 and	college for the purpose	from other parts of	
continue development in	of hiring tutors.	the college.	
2007-08.	_	_	

3. Budget Reductions:

Description	Impact	Consequences	\$	R/ NR
Cut 632 tutor hours	830 hours (@ \$9 per hour)	Potential reduction of	\$7,477	NR
	reduction in tutors available to	2.075 FTE.		
	students.[ 400 tutor hours equals	Reduced hours in		
	approximately 1 FTE]	Tutor centers during		
		academic year.		
	Represents 10% reduction in			
	general fund budget for tutors			
	from \$72,160 to \$64,683.			
Cut 296 more tutor	Cut additional 296 hours for total	Potential reduction of	\$10,966	NR
hours	cut of 927 or 2 FTE.	2 FTE.		
		Reduced hours in		
	Represents total of 25%	Tutor centers during		
	reduction in general fund tutor	academic year.		
	budget from \$72,160 to \$53,717.			
Reduce	Reduce Coordinator from .75 to	Less close contact	\$3,354	NR
coordinator's time	.70 FTE.	with students and		
from .75 to .70		tutors resulting in		
FTE		lower quality service.		

ALS-Tutoring Sec III Page 2 of 3

### Unit Planning for Instruction Center for Learning Advancement / ALS-Tutoring Services

Description	Impact	Consequences	\$ R/
_	_	_	NR
		Reduces time available to apply for other funds.	

Tutoring Services is one component that pays for peer tutors to serve Lane's student body collegewide. Tutoring Services reduction of 478 hours in 2006-07 was magnified because other departments in the college reduced timesheet employees (tutors) as well. Reductions in other departments over the past year have resulted in fewer hours beyond those offered by Tutoring Services. Reductions from 2005-06 to 2006-07 were:

Department	Hours reduced
Math	945
Science	200
Foreign Languages	1,135
Tutoring Services	478
Music	270
CIT	750
TOTAL	3,778

The 3778 hours of tutoring eliminated represents about 9.44 FTE potential (400 hours = 1 FTE: 1 tutor serves small groups of students) and about 7,556 fewer student visits to tutors (average 30 minutes in length.). Impact on success and retention rates is unknown, but changes will be analyzed when Fall 2006 data is available.

### 2008-2009 (FY 09) and beyond, Fundamental changes:

- 1. Revenue Enhancements: (Include impact, consequences, and comments) None proposed at this time
  - 2. Efficiencies and Productivity: (Include impact, consequences, and comments)

Non-Guaranteed Efficiencies/Productivity:

Description	Impact	Consequences	\$ R/NR
2008-09 Promote the program	Student service	Outreach requires	
in the community, increasing	provided without	time: coordinator	
options for volunteer tutors.	expense hiring tutors.	hours are inadequate	
		Volunteers require	
		training.	

**3. Budget Reductions:** (Include impact, consequences, and comments) None proposed at this time.

ALS-Tutoring Sec III Page 3 of 3