

Technology Council

Meeting Notes from November 8, 2005

ATTENDANCE:

- | | |
|---------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ALLEN BAHRET | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> STEVEN BABKIRK |
| <input type="checkbox"/> PAM DANE | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SCOTT BROOKS |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> JOE ESCOBAR | <input type="checkbox"/> MARK WILLIAMS |
| <input type="checkbox"/> HELEN GARRETT | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> JEFF DAVIS | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> DON PATTON(AT 3:30) | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> STEPHEN PRUCH | <input type="checkbox"/> _____ |

OUTCOMES:

DISCUSSION:

New meeting dates approved.

October 11 minutes approved.

1. The chair announced that Pam Dane, Helen Garrett and Mark Williams contacted him and would not be attending. Also, Don Patton will be arriving about 45 minutes late.
2. The chair announced that Patrick Lanning was stepping down and had designated Jeff Davis as his replacement. The chair welcomed Jeff to the council and noted that the representation from the continuing education area was appreciated.
3. Discussion of future meeting time conflicts for Scott and Stephen with Finance Council dates. The chair handed out a revised list of council meeting dates and there was consensus on the changes.
4. The chair reminded council members to review and comment on the Instructional Technology Strategic Plan. The chair previously provided documents and links for this work. (Don Patton arrived at 3:30) The chair handed out copies of a long post from the bb used of feedback.
5. Approval of October 11 minutes: provisionally (with Mark, Helen and Pam missing) approved.
6. The chair kicked off the discussion of creation of a Technology Strategic plan with general background on rules (everyone participates equally...no other rules). Pruch discussed the usefulness of using the college Strategic Directions as the structure or organizing template for the Technology Strategic Plan. The chair talked about using real examples of decisions we need to make in the future (like the LMS decision) or decisions we made in the past (like the Banner selection) to get ideas on useful components of a strategic plan. What can we include in a plan that would guide the student email decision or the portal decision? Pruch briefly discussed the Banner decision and asked what we could learn from that process that would inform the strategic plan. The chair reminded the council members to be talking with their constituents about technology needs.
7. The chair introduced a discussion of process for creating our plan. Do we want to use an outside facilitator? Or have the chair or vice-chair serve in that role? How and when will we solicit feedback? Overall plan timeline? General agreement that a timeline with a target first draft date is a good thing; but we should be prepared to continue working if we don't have a product we are comfortable with.
8. Meeting was adjourned at 4:30.