

Technology Council

Meeting Notes from October 11, 2005

ATTENDANCE:

| □ ALEN BAHRET |
|-----------------|
| 🗹 PAM DANE |
| ☑ JOE ESCOBAR |
| 🗹 HELEN GARRETT |
| PATRICK LANNING |
| DON PATTON |
| ☑ STEPHEN PRUCH |

☑ STEVEN BABKIRK ☑ SCOTT BROOKS ☑ MARK WILLIAMS □

| OUTCOMES: | DISCUSSION: |
|--|---|
| Pruch agreed to continue taking notes. | 1. Minutes were reviewed, corrected and approved. |
| Escobar will stay in touch with the Learning Council and coordinate future work on the ITSP. | 2. Escobar reported on his meeting with Learning Council subgroup (Barber and Zimmerman) on status of the Instructional Technology Strategic Plan. Copies of the draft plan were distributed. The ITSP is "owned" by the Learning Council; but there is joint responsibility for developing the plan. The plan was begun prior to the council system and was picked up by the joint Learning Council/Technology Council subcommittee (Williams, Zimmerman, Munkvold) last year. Discussion followed. A general consensus emerged that the Technology Strategic Plan will be an encompassing, overarching, universal technology plan that will include the ITSP. |
| | Escobar believes that the Learning Council intends to put the ITSP out for public review and comment. (Don Patton arrived) |
| | 3. Pruch reported on the role of the council in monitoring college progress on follow up work from the 2004 accreditation review. This works comes under the 'evaluation' role of the councils. There was some confusion about this work and whether or not it was appropriate for the council. It was suggested that maybe a working sub-group could be assigned this task. |
| | 4. Escobar led a discussion of the council work calendar. |
| Pruch will send links to online material. | 5. Discussion of Technology Council visitors. Suggestions that Enrollment Services, students, facilities and other constituencies be scheduled as a way to gather input for the technology plan. Pruch suggested looking at the EDUCAUSE Core Data System and Current Issues list as a way to get a feeling for the scope of technology issues in higher education. Discussion of how issues and presentation will inform the technology strategic plan or policy development. |
| | 6. Closing comments: Council members have an interest in and a knowledge of technology; we must keep our sights on those students who do not have the same advantages. Not helping students if students leave here after two years and don't know how to use email. Roll up our sleeves and get going. Don't talk it to death. |
| | 7. Meeting was adjourned at 4:50. |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |