

## **Technology Council**

## **Meeting Notes from March 15, 2005**

ATTENDANCE:	
☑ ALEN BAHRET	☑ SANDIN RIDDLE
☐ PAM DANE	☑ JUSTIN TITTELFITZ
☑ JOE ESCOBAR	☑ MARK WILLIAMS
☑ HELEN GARRETT	
☑ PATRICK LANNING	
☑ DON PATTON	
☑ STEPHEN PRUCH	□

OUTCOMES:	DISCUSSION:
<ol> <li>Pruch was asked</li> <li>procedure eliminated</li> <li>consider at next meeting</li> <li>update at the next meeting</li> <li>information for next meeting</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>Pam Dane emailed her regrets prior to the meeting.</li> <li>Minutes from March 1 were reviewed and approved.</li> <li>The chair asked if Banner had been modified to place student email in the CC vs the BC field. AVP for IT Pruch replied that it had not and that he had not been approached with a modification request for this purpose. Pruch reported that he did approve a modification to support dual enrollment by enabling the EDI function. The Council had a discussion about when and how to inform the council of work that goes on in the administrative arena. Garrett noted that there is confusion around the issue of what work needs to come to the council and noted that she deals with technology decisions all the time.</li> <li>The chair and Don Patton brought a modified version of the Appropriate Use Policy for Council discussion. Lively discussion with full participation followed. The Council worked on editing the current policy following suggestions made by Patton and Escobar. Eventually the Council began discussing starting over from scratch with a new appropriate use policy. The Council discussed several examples: plagiarism, pornography, running a personal business, shopping on Amazon.com and many more.</li> <li>Pruch was asked to take this policy to IT staff for significant rework and return to council for further discussion and adoption.</li> <li>Alen Bahret suggested that the procedure on Access to Computer Resources is mostly procedure that can be eliminated, with a little policy that can be included with Appropriate Use.</li> <li>Justin Tittlefftz reported that the policies he reviewed and are narrower and not in need of much revision, but might need a little cleaning up. We will consider these at our next meeting.</li> <li>Mark Williams will update us on the Instructional Technology Strategic Plan at the next council meeting.</li> <li>Pruch was asked for more clarity about council role when acting on policy versus a consultative role.</li> <li>Merk William</li></ol>