Technology Council Meeting Notes
March 14, 2006

Attending: Scott Brooks, Pam Dane, Jeff Davis, Joe Escobar, Don Patton, Stephen Pruch

Absent: Helen Garrett, Mark Williams, Alen Bahret, Steven Babkirk

1. Minutes from February 28 were reviewed and approved.

2. Checking on term of appointments: Scott and Steven are both planning to attend the UO next year, so expect to be stepping down. Pam Dane (Faculty Council) offered to step down with the hope that Joe (LCCEA) would continue and begin a staggered appointment for faculty. ASLCC elections will be held in May and they expect to make appointments to allow for some spring attendance by new appointees. Jeff Davis (AVP for I representative) is the latest appointment (Fall 2005). Jeff reported that Management Senate has decided on the process for rotating council appointments. Don reported that LCCEF is in the process of discussing rotating appointments.

3. The council discussed the January 2006 version of the ITSP.

    a. "Work to develop centrally-funded locally-controlled support systems for instructional technology." The council recommends that this bullet be deleted and that the word "administrative" be deleted from the previous bullet.
    b. "Use open source options whenever they adequately meet needs." The council suggests this bullet be replaced by: "Consider all options, including open-source and commercial, when evaluating software solutions." The council was considering home-grown, hybrid (commercial implementations of open source), open-standards as also "included".
    c. "Continue to improve and maintain support and resources for online, distance learning and hybrid courses." The Council suggests replacing this bullet with: "Continue to improve and maintain support for alternative instructional delivery methods, such as online, hybrid, IP video, telecourses and live-studio."
    d. "and effectiveness" Council accepts the change.
    e. "In particular, it needs to consider strategic decisions as they arise."   The council recommends that this sentence be deleted. It is not clear what the meaning is and it seems to undercut the preceding sentence.
    f. The chair and co-chair of Technology Council will follow up with College Council on strategic plan development and ownership.
4. The council worked online with the wiki on the technology strategic plan. The chair suggested that we have all of the detail, and extensive council discussion has led to understanding and agreement. What we need is a structure to organize our bullet points. The chair and co-chair will work on the structure prior to the next council meeting.
5. The meeting was adjourned at 4:35.