

Learning Council

Meeting Notes from December 2, 2005

ATTENDANCE:

- | | |
|---|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> KATE BARRY | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> JUDY MCKENZIE |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> MARY BRAU | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> KEN MURDOFF |
| <input type="checkbox"/> SARA SUMNER | <input type="checkbox"/> TAMARA PINKAS |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> LIDA HERBURGER | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ALBERT POOTH |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PATRICK LANNING | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> CATHERINE RESCHKE |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SILVER MOGART | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> KEN ZIMMERMAN |
| (2:00-3:00) | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SONYA CHRISTIAN |

OUTCOMES:	DISCUSSION:
<p>AGENDA:</p> <p>1. Minutes: <u>Decision:</u> Approved with corrections</p> <p>2. Announcement and Reports</p> <p>3. Learning Plan Implementation Language <u>Decision:</u> APPROVED</p> <p>4. College Council Priorities Document handed out and reviewed</p> <p>5. Learning Plan Priorities <u>Decision:</u> Learning Plan Draft 16 Approved</p>	<p><u>Discussion:</u> Amend minutes to reflect the recurring Budget Reductions should read winter "2006" instead of 2005 Learning Council minutes Item 6 third bullet should read "may" instead of "should"</p> <p><u>Discussion:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Board will have a work session on educational programs. Draft 15B of the Learning Plan will be provided to the Board. The board work session is on December 14th between 4:00-6:30. Ken Zimmerman will be present and all committee members are welcomed. Curriculum Committee is going to delay the Dec 7th Curriculum meeting until January with over 90 approval forms for review. <p><u>Discussion:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Subcommittee (Kate, Ken Z, Bert, and Lida) worked on the implementation language and distributed copies of their recommendation. “,” will be added between the second and third sentence in the first paragraph. The last sentence should read "Learning" instead of "Leaning" Plan <p><u>Discussion:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The College Council budget priorities were distributed and reviewed. <p><u>Discussion:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Reviewed the document that mapped the LP strategies to the College Council budget priorities. Motion: Submit the plan to College Council without prioritization. Contingent upon the review of the student representatives. ISS managers have already started working on the implementation of the Learning Plan and the Student Affairs Plan. The council will continue the mapping to the priorities process in the work plan for 2005-2006.

OUTCOMES:

DISCUSSION:

6. Instructional Technology Strategic Plan

Decision: APPROVED as revised

Discussion:

- Ken Zimmerman reviewed the ITSP dated 12/2/05, and shared the changes that were made following feedback from the Student Affairs Council.
- The LC discussed the term “Smart Classroom” and made the following revision: the term “Multimedia Learning Systems” with “Smart Classrooms” included in parentheses.
- ITSP dated 12/2/05 was approved as revised.

7. Learning Council Work Plan 2004-2005 assessment

Strengths	Challenges
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ All day retreats ▪ Thoughtfulness & depth of discussions ▪ Respectful environment ▪ Good subcommittee work ▪ Sincere about input/feedback from community ▪ Represent a wide cross section of campus ▪ Engaged in the work 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Endless conversations ▪ Too much emphasis on campus feedback. Need to figure out how to be best representatives for the college ▪ Focus was primarily on the Learning Plan and not the other aspects of LC roles ▪ Communication with other councils can improve ▪ Could use more sub-committee work to bring back to the council ▪ Just getting bogged down on logistics. For example: defining a quorum

8. Work plan 2005-2006:

Discussion:

The work plan for 2005-2006 was reviewed and revised.

9. NEXT MEETING:
January 13, 2006