

## COLLEGE COUNCIL MINUTES June 11, 2009, Boardroom 1:45 – 3:45

Present: Jim Lindly, Mary Spilde, Craig Taylor, Larry Scott, James Manning, Denise Brinkman, Jim Salt

ltem	Notes
Agenda review	No changes
Review of Notes	Approved with the right to amend
Tobbaco free policy	The Faculty Council rep read the Tobacco free policy language prepared by the task force group, and suggested that a group be assigned to implement the language if policy passes.
	The Student rep stated that every campus has at least two places for smoking on the outskirts of campus. Our current policy already states this; unless we go completely smoke-free, we are not changing anything. We need to identify a date for implementation and who will be in charge of implementing. Student rep asked that before voting, he would like to see something in writing. Faculty union rep asked him for his recommendations for the language and work on it later on.
	Student rep didn't agree on adopting a policy based on verbal agreement only. The Faculty union rep proposed to take the existing policy and re-write the language on the spot. Members agreed language was written and printed for everyone's review.
	A motion to pass the policy was presented by the Faculty Council rep, seconded by the Classified rep and opened for discussion. Public comments were allowed.
	Realistically can't be expected that staff, and students stop smoking; there has been enough discussion about this, and it's time to focus on resolutions. Faculty have had a survey, and their voting is pretty consistent; who is going to reinforce the policy? It is last meeting of the year, a solution needs to be
	found. There should be some things in place to support the transition; we are not going cold turkey. A year is a substantial time for people to adjust; there are going to be aspects that need adjustment, it is almost impossible to
	forecast all the issues to be faced; the date shouldn't be part of the discussion, it should be part of the implementation proposal; we need clarity on what the perimeter includes; we have little clarity on what we are implementing; it is a cultural change the same way as drinking; the implementation is not going to stop smoking overnight, and eventually it will change; public intoxication is
	prohibited by law, that is why people don't drink; people look at signs and go and smoke in front of them; we need to keep them in a contained area; implement this policy is un-realistic; implementation and policy have to go hand on hand, and enforcement has to be strong. There is no policy that is always adhered to, regardless of what the policy is. The purpose is to start a
	change that will be completed with time; another issue that doesn't need to be address today, is the perimeter; policy already talks states "college property"; college property is too strong.
	A friendly proposal was presented by the Faculty union president, to approve the policy now and to work on implementation by a task force in the Fall.

	Dreneesly
	Proposal: Smoking and other tobacco use is prohibited in all core areas of LCC campuses; smoking and tobacco use may be allowed in some designated peripheral areas. <u>Indoors in all college facilities. In addition, smoking is</u> <del>permitted only in designated areas.</del> 4 votes yes; 2 can live with it; 1 opposed
	4 votes yes; 2 can live with it; 1 opposed
	Since the consensus was not reached, there was a proposal to default the decision to the administration. President Spilde stated that she would prefer not to adopt this decision, and maybe bring the item to the CC retreat if consensus is not reached today.
	Faculty Union President presented this new proposal: 1. Effective the beginning of Fall term 2010, the College smoking policy shall be amended as follows:
	Smoking and other tobacco use is prohibited in all core areas of LCC campuses; smoking and tobacco use may be allowed in some designated peripheral areas.
	<ol> <li>As soon as feasible, the College Council will determine an implementation team to develop proposed related policy changes and definitions; education, signage, and other implementation plans; and the determination of the core and peripheral boundaries, all to be considered by the College Council. [Examples of core areas include all of the land around and between campus buildings; possible examples of peripheral areas include the parking lots and woods]</li> </ol>
	5 votes yes; 2 can live with it; Proposal passed
	Thanks were given to Faculty Union president for making this work.
CC retreat	July 30th not all the members will be available to attend, it was agreed that retreat will take effect with the members who can make it.
Review drafts for standards 1,6 and 9	Standards 1: Institutional Mission and Goals, Planning and Effectiveness 6: Governance and Administration 9: Institutional Integrity
	Craig Taylor requested that CC members review the standards, and provide feedback on this standards. He needs to write the reports for the interim accreditation visit. Council members agreed to bring this discussion to the retreat in July.
Reports	Larry Scott reminded CC that another representative needs to be assigned to the Bond Leadership Team since his term with CC is ending.
Meeting times	Agreed to meet the same times next academic year.
Adjourn	The Council adjourned for the academic year