



College Council Minutes

February 26, 2009, Boardroom 1:45 – 3:45

Present: Mary Spilde (on the phone), Greg Morgan, Craig Taylor, Larry Scott , Bob Baldwin, Jim Lindly, Jonathan Morton, Josh Canaday

Time	Item	Notes
2 min	Agenda review	No reviews
5 min	Review of Notes	Notes of the Dec-11, Jan-8 and Jan-22 meetings were approved.
20 min	Strategic Planning process	Discussion: Is this going to be a separate subcommittee? We need a strategic plan that directs the budget. We can do a rough document so we can move forward. Make a short version. We shouldn't call it strategic planning. Didn't budget subcommittee agree to work on this document? Not sure we will cover all the instructional needs-perspectives. There is another year we are working based on crisis rather on needs. Suggestions: have the 07-08 plan as a base to start work. We should integrate all the documents available and it shouldn't be done only by BB and GM, there should be the duty of other councils too. Sonya Christian clarified that the President's intention was to have BB & GM work on an initial draft document, not to put them in charge of developing. There is a need to start with the fundamental redesigning of the document. We have ideas, but we don't want to put a lot of work on something that is not going to be used later, because there was a misunderstanding on what we were asked to do. Work based on college core values. What is the appropriate process for reviewing Core values vs college governance? The fact that Planning subcommittee is not meeting is not a good sign. Suggestion for the chair and vice chair to put together the planning committee and start going. Bob Baldwin and Craig will work on this.
30 min	Budget Development sub-committee report	Discussion: report on Strategies document have not been approved or supported, they are only possibilities. Budget subcommittee will be working with this document. Report on Budget Development document. Greg will make suggested changes in document. Discussion on data, Jim Salt and Greg Morgan will work on this.
10 min	Inclusive Council Task Group	Discussion: who is on this task group? Assessment group, attempted to add a function during in-service but we are informed that it will no longer have that format. What should we do? Jim Salt reported that this work is being led by the Governance Subcommittee of the College Council. He also presented a document with a timeline, and samples of the surveys to be used to request information from councils. He also presented a document with a timeline, and samples of the surveys to be used to request information from councils. Discussion: the larger college community should be included clarifying that June report is only what we have developed at internal level, and we would engage students and staff next fall.

		We can discuss about this document via meeting, or e-mail and send the surveys to councils immediately. Jim Salt will set up a meeting for task group. Task group: Mary Spilde, Jim Salt
10 min	Governance Subcommittee report	No reports
20 min	Reports: ET, Faculty Council, ASLCC, Mgnt Stering C, LCCEF and LCCEA	<p>ASLCC: working on several issues, increasing FTE, coming elections, survey development with Craig Taylor, funds from the board.</p> <p>LCCEA: two years ago the OEA CC UniServ saw the need to bring together various groups interested in CC funding so we helped create a coalition including representatives of the AFT-O, the OCCA, the OCCSA, and others. Representatives of each group met again this past weekend and re-committed to working together this spring to maximize CC funding for the next biennium.</p> <p>Management steering committee: they are working on updating working agreement; kick off of portal to replace ExpressLane, the focus of this change is primarily students. It is a significant step up for service to students.</p> <p>LCCEF; several lobbying. Meet with Senator Wyden talk about issues about medical care. Lane workforce partnership, got survey from the business community in the manufacturing field. Samples concerns how manufacture companies look at lane at being a source of training future employees, statistics are concerning. Are we successfully marketing, or we are not teaching what they need. President is not surprised low number, Advance tech is not a manufacturing program, we just start to work on manufacturing with the new pathways program, and manufacture has not been a priority for us. Topic should be moved to Learning council.</p>