

## COLLEGE COUNCIL MINUTES

November 13<sup>th</sup> 2008, Boardroom 1:30 – 3:30

**Present:** Josh Canaday, Siv Serene Barnum, Jim Salt, Larry Scott, Greg Morgan, Joyce Godels

Item	Notes
Agenda review	Table Governance subcommittee
Review of Notes	Approval the Oct 23 <sup>rd</sup> notes Members reminded to not name individuals, only comments. Committee chair will see to the amendments as agreed upon.
Budget Development 2008-09	Input sought whether to use the same process schedule as last year and regarding any desired changes from CC members. A question was raised on how to involve campus community in budget discussion. Clarification was made that students are included on the Budget Development Subcommittee. Governor's Budget will be out Dec 1 <sup>st</sup> . Scenarios will be developed prior to Dec 1 <sup>st</sup> based on alternate information sources e.g. because of Bond money the general funds will be impacted. Point made that corporate minimum tax needs to become earmarked for Community Colleges who provide skilled workers for corporations. Discussion focused on possible OEA political action regarding earmarked funds. Call to reexamine process related to Budget Development Subcommittee. Question rose regarding Finance Council. Budget Development is within purview of BD Subcommittee. Budget assumptions are within purview of Finance Council. Differences of opinion articulate on moving responsibilities of Finance Council to BD Subcommittee, whether last years Budget process was effective and, from whose point of view. <i>Proposal: Convene BD Subcommittee and have discussions brought back to CC with no codicils that BD Subcommittee can enlarge its span of control.</i>
Student Affairs Plan Goals	Thank you to Student Affairs for reporting on their goals.
Inclusive Council task group	Question came to CC from Student Affairs Council re: need to review governance council structure. Point made that this is related to the need for an assessment of the governance system. Point made that CC historically is "bogged down" on budget and other issues are not addressed. 1 <sup>st</sup> Proposal: create a subcommittee composed of a member from each council to assist in the assessment process. 2 <sup>nd</sup> Proposal: let the Governance Subcommittee use the Student Affairs Council report as input in to the assessment process. <i>3<sup>rd</sup> Proposal: Keep the assessment process in the Governance Subcommittee and create a Work Group that includes a member from each of the 6 councils and additional CC members to assists in the assessment. Decision: passed.</i> <i>Proposal: on fall and spring in-service day to have a joint meeting of all governance councils. Decision: Passed</i>
First Reading Draft of Space Assignment Policy from Facilities Council	Concern was raised regarding "hardwiring space assignment priorities" into the policy. All College functions needs to be stated in the policy. Suggestions were made to review draft policy relative to distributed definition of "Policy" and definition of "Procedure". Questions rose re: statements "space reverts to the College for reassignment, especially those in proximity to the space to be assigned". And question: Do we need a policy regarding the possibility of dispute resolution to a space assignment e.g. an appeal process. Suggestions regarding alternate wording for these statements. These suggestions were documented by representative of Facilities Council. A follow up report from Fac. Council to CC was requested, including emergency planning and response issues.
Facilities Master Plan language	Consensuses reached that Lane CC's organizing idea "College-wide planning' is synonyms with Lane Governance System.
Reports: ET, Faculty Council, ASLCC, Mgnt Senate, LCCEF and LCCEA	Bob Baldwin stepped down from Facilities Council and joined Diversity Council. Allan Bahret and Len Heflin represent classified union on Facilities Council. Informal agreements: CC supports Joe Escobar to serve as facilitator at the first Technology Council meeting which is to be determined.